

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/612,158	07/02/2003	Igor Andreevich Sobolev	U 014700-6	4132
140	7590 08/02/2004		EXAMINER	
LADAS & PARRY			LEUNG, JENNIFER A	
26 WEST 61ST STREET NEW YORK, NY 10023			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1764	
			DATE MAILED: 08/02/200	1

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Antique Commence	10/612,158	SOBOLEV ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Jennifer A. Leung	1764				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	i6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
	_					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-4 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-4 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on 02 July 2003 is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner	☐ accepted or b) ☑ objected to b drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 09/061,483. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 7-2-03. 		atent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 1764

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

1. Acknowledgment is made of applicant's claim for foreign priority based on Russian application RU 97114712, filed 09/09/1997. However, a certified copy of the RU application as required by 35 U.S.C. 119(b) has not been placed with parent US application 09/061,483. (A photocopy of the return postcard certifying the submittal of the priority document is contained in the parent application, but an actual copy of the foreign priority document has not been matched with the file. The Examiner therefore requests the re-submittal of the priority document).

Drawings

- The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(4) because reference character "27" has been used to designate both a pipe for supplying coolant to the hollow cooled lid 26, as well as a second inductor plate (i.e., similar to inductor 35), shown in FIG. 5. Corrected drawing sheets are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection will not be held in abeyance.
- 3. The drawings have not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware.

Art Unit: 1764

Specification

- 4. The abstract is objected to because it contains plural paragraphs and improper use of legal phraseology (i.e., "comprising", "comprises", and "means"). Also, "U-shap d" should be changed to -- U-shaped -- (line 3). Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).
- 5. The specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware.

Claim Objections

6. Claims 1 and 2 are objected to because of the following informalities:

In claim 1, line 1, "discharg" should be changed to -- discharge ---

In claim 1, line 18, -- and -- should be inserted after "coolant,".

In claim 2, line 6, -- and -- should be inserted after "said first truncated cone,".

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

7. Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Regarding claim 1, it is unclear as to the relationship between "a pipe for discharge of the coolant" in line 18 and "a discharge pipe" set forth in line 2, as both pipes provide a discharge function. Furthermore, it is unclear as to which pipe is intended by, "said pipe of the discharge gate" in lines 19-20, as the discharge gate comprises a plurality of pipes (i.e., "a pipe" in line 16

Art Unit: 1764

or "a pipe" in line 18).

Regarding claim 2, it is unclear as to which pipe is intended by, "said pipe of the discharge gate" in line 4, as the discharge gate comprises a plurality of pipes (i.e., "a pipe" in line 16 or "a pipe" in line 18).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

8. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Faulkner et al. (US 4,350,516).

Faulkner et al. (FIG. 1, 2) discloses an apparatus comprising:

a discharge pipe (i.e., defined by inner diameter of support bowl 24);

a cooling jacket having a U-shaped form in cross section disposed on said discharge pipe 24 (i.e.,

a vertical cross-section of water jacket portions 32 and 38, wherein portion 38 defines the

base of the "U" and portion 32 defines the legs of the "U");

a lid (i.e., positioning block 36) covering the cooling jacket 32/38 and the discharge pipe 24;

Art Unit: 1764

a lug (i.e., orifice block 44, with end portion 50 and shoulder 54) made in the lid 36 from the side facing the discharge pipe 24;

- a through cylindrical aperture made in the lug 44 (i.e., end portion 50, defining cylindrical outlet orifice 46), a longitudinal axis (i.e., the vertical axis) of which coincides with a longitudinal axis of the discharge pipe 24;
- a discharge gate comprising a pipe (i.e., metering needle 42), on one end of which, facing lug 44, is positioned a cone-shaped tip (see FIG. 2), and on the other end, a lid with an aperture (i.e., block 28 with aperture, not labeled; FIG. 2), adjacent which is positioned a pipe for discharge of coolant (i.e., water filled cooling coil 48, with straight outlet portion ↑); and a pipe for supplying coolant (i.e., water filled cooling coil 48, with coiled inlet portion ↓), positioned coaxially with said pipe of the discharge gate in said aperture of the lid (i.e., pipe 42), one end of which is positioned adjacent the cone-shaped tip, another end protruding outside the lid 28 (see FIG. 2).

Additionally, Faulkner et al. (column 2, last line, to column 3, line 14) discloses supply and discharge means for the cooling jacket 32/38, wherein,

"... water jacket 32 [is] supplied with circulating cooling water through tube 34. A similar outlet tube (not illustrated) is provided for carrying return water from jacket 32... Annular block water jacket 38 is interposed between support bowl 24 and positioning block 36 and is provided with its own water supply tube 40. A similar tube (not illustrated) carries return water away from jacket 38."

However, Faulkner et al. is silent as to the cooling jacket 32/38 having the specifically recited supply and discharge means; namely, a group of apertures made in the lid 36 and serving to remove a coolant from the cooling jacket 32/38, and a collector for feeding coolant into the jacket 32/38 positioned on said jacket from the side opposite said lid 36, said collector having a

Art Unit: 1764

group of apertures made in the collector for supplying the coolant to the cooling jacket 32/38. In any event, it would have been an obvious design choice for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to select another suitable configuration for the cooling fluid supply and discharge means in the apparatus of Faulkner et al., on the basis of suitability for the intended use and absent showing any unexpected results thereof, because the shifting of location of parts is obvious, furthermore, the provision of suitably located collectors (i.e., fluid manifolds) for combined supply and discharge of plural fluid streams is well known in the art.

Faulkner et al. (column 3, line 26 to column 4, line 12) further discloses,

"The outer or lower end portion 50 of orifice block 44 has a substantially reduced outer diameter... The wall thickness in this portion of the block can be significantly less than the remaining part of the block, where the orifice must have a greater diameter and the temperatures and erosion forces of the circulating molten glass are greater... In this way, the amount of surface area at outer face 52 of orifice block 44 is held to an absolute minimum."

"Shoulder 54 is defined by the point of transition from the larger outer diameter of the main portion of block 44 to the reduced diameter portion 50 adjacent its outer end. This shoulder 54 or transition surface area provides the additional benefit of an increased surface area directly exposed to the cooling influence of block water jacket 38, which is contoured and dimensioned to be in intimate contact with the surfaces of shoulder 54 and outer portion 50 of block 44."

"The combination of the sharply reduced mass and exposed surface area of the lower end of the block with the direct and intimate contact with the cooling jacket along a substantial surface area permits the block temperature at outer face 52 to be maintained below that at which oxidation normally occurs. Therefore, no protective atmosphere is required."

As illustrated in FIG. 2, the reduction in the peripheral diameter of orifice block or lug 44 is achieved by a "stepped" reduction in diameter from the shoulder 54 to the outer face 52.

Art Unit: 1764

Faulkner et al., however, is silent as to illustrating a "smooth" reduction in diameter from the shoulder 54 to the outer face 52, such that the lug 44 comprises the form of a "truncated cone". In any event, it would have been an obvious design choice for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the lug 44 of Faulkner et al. such that it formed a "truncated cone", on the basis of suitability for the intended use and absent showing any unexpected results thereof, because it has been held that changes in shape are obvious *In re Dailey* 149 USPQ 47, 50 (CCPA 1966); *Glue Co. v Upton* 97 US 3, 24 (USSC 1878). In this case, one having ordinary skill in the art would expect that a lug having a "stepped" reduction in diameter and a lug having a "smooth" reduction in diameter would function equivalently according to the invention of Faulkner et al., as both constructs provide a sharply reduced mass and exposed surface area at the lower end (i.e., outer face 52) of the lug, while maintaining a sufficient thickness and contact area with cooling jacket 38 in the remaining portion of the lug.

Allowable Subject Matter

9. Claims 2-4 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action. The prior art of record does not suggest or adequately teach a discharge unit further comprising the recited discharge gate structure of claims 2 and 3, or a cooling jacket having a U-shape according to a horizontal cross section, such that the discharge pipe is shifted toward a round portion of the U-shaped jacket (claim 4).

Conclusion

10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure:

Art Unit: 1764

Page 8

Stalego and Weber are provided to illustrate the state of the art. Stalego teaches various means for handling the discharge of molten material through a cooled, jacketed orifice (see FIG. 12-17). Weber teaches a glass drawing apparatus comprising an orifice 33 having a cooling jacket (for cooling water 43, 44) and discharge gate 70 (see FIG. 3).

* * *

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jennifer A. Leung whose telephone number is (571) 272-1449. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 am - 5:30 pm M-F, every other Friday off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Glenn A. Caldarola can be reached on (571) 272-1444. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jennifer A. Leung July 27, 2004

> HIEN TRAN PRIMARY EXAMINER